

INTRODUCTION

The Gulf Coast Regional Aquifer-System Analysis (Gulf Coast RASA) is a study of regional aquifers composed of sediments of mostly Cretaceous age that underlie about 230,000 mi² of the Gulf Coastal Plain in parts of Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Illinois, Kentucky, Mississippi, Missouri, Tennessee, Texas, and all of Louisiana (fig. 1). The study also includes about 60,000 mi² of the Continental Shelf (Grubb, 1984). These regional aquifers, called the Gulf coast aquifer systems, are part of three aquifer systems: the Mississippi embayment aquifer system, the Texas coastal uplands aquifer system, and the coastal lowlands aquifer system (fig. 2). The Gulf coast aquifer systems have been divided into 10 water-yielding units based on geologic and hydraulic factors (Weiss and Williamson, 1988; Pettijohn and others, 1988; Homan and Weiss, 1991; Weiss, 1990).

The middle Claiborne aquifer is in sediments of Eocene age and is part of both the Mississippi embayment and the Texas coastal uplands aquifer systems. Relation of the aquifer to overlying and underlying units is shown in figure 3. The aquifer north of about latitude 35° north is the upper two-thirds of what Homan and Weiss (1988) suggested as the middle Claiborne aquifer. Because the lower Claiborne confining unit does not exist north of about latitude 35° north the lower one-third of the aquifer is treated herein as a northward extension of the lower Claiborne upper Wilcox aquifer so that comparisons can be made directly with results from ground-water flow simulation (Williamson and others, 1990). The middle Claiborne aquifer is composed of a massive sand or a series of thick sand beds with clay interbeds of varying thickness and extent. Sand content is greater than 60 percent throughout most of Texas and the Mississippi embayment north of Louisiana. Sand content decreases to the southeast where sand content is less than 20 percent throughout two large areas adjacent to the downdip limit of the aquifer. One area is located in eastern Louisiana and adjacent parts of Mississippi; the other area is in Alabama and adjacent parts of southeastern Mississippi. The aquifer averages about 470 ft thick and dips about 3 ft/mi along the Mississippi River from southern Illinois to northern Louisiana. Elsewhere the dip ranges from an average of about 60 ft/mi from northern Louisiana to the downdip limit of the aquifer to about 135 ft/mi in southern Texas. Ground-water pumping from the middle Claiborne aquifer was 530 Mgal/d during 1985 (Meko and others, 1990).

The Gulf Coast RASA is a part of the U.S. Geological Survey's Regional Aquifer-System Analysis program. The program began in 1978 and is designed to provide an understanding and assessment of the Nation's ground-water resources on a regional basis (Bennett, 1979). A summary of progress in the RASA program through 1984 was given by Sun (1986), and progress on the Gulf Coast RASA was reported by Grubb (1987) and Williamson and others (1990).

Purpose and Scope

This report describes the water chemistry of the middle Claiborne aquifer. Maps in the report show the areal distribution of the concentration of dissolved solids, temperature, the primary water types, pH, and the concentrations of calcium, magnesium, sodium, potassium, bicarbonate, sulfate, chloride, and silica. Also included are five maps showing milliequivalent ratios of (1) magnesium plus calcium to bicarbonate, (2) magnesium plus calcium to sodium plus potassium, (3) bicarbonate to sulfate, and (4) bicarbonate to chloride. The maps of constituent ratios are included for comparing with the same constituent ratios commonly reported for sea water and for water used for specific purposes such as industry and public supply. The ratios have also been used to show trends that may indicate major controls on the chemistry of the ground water.

Compilation of Maps

The maps in this report are based primarily on data from the U.S. Geological Survey's National Water Data Storage and Retrieval System (WATSTORE) and data from the Texas Department of Water Resources (Pettijohn, 1988). The data were screened as explained by Pettijohn (1988) and values were plotted on maps in each 100-square-mile area where data exist. The 100-square-mile areas are the same as those illustrated by Grubb (1987, p. 115) and used for simulation of ground-water flow by Williamson (1987) and Williamson and others (1990).

These maps show regional trends in chemical properties. The concentrations of dissolved solids and major ions, pH, and temperature vary with depth within the aquifer. Point values can be smaller or larger than the values shown on the map, depending on whether the point is at the top or bottom of the aquifer. Because there are clusters of sampling sites at some of the property or constituent in each 100-square-mile area was selected for constructing maps. The density of sampling sites in each 100-square-mile area is shown as an inset on each of the maps of the respective property or constituent. The number of sampling sites per interval is indicated on the bar graph included with each map. The number of 100-square-mile areas and a summary of median values for each constituent, property, and ratio are shown in table 1.

The maximum values in table 1 are usually larger than the maximum line of equal concentration shown on the corresponding maps. In some instances the maximum value in the table is much larger than the maximum line shown on the map because the value in the table is for only one 100-square-mile area and there is not enough data of equal magnitude to justify adding additional intervals.

The concentrations of major ions and pH are based on median values of all samples within each 100-square-mile area. The dissolved-solids concentrations greater than 10,000 mg/L and temperature are based on depth-averaged values from geophysical well logs (Pettijohn and others, 1988). The water type was computed from the cation and the anion that composed the median value of a property or constituent in the dissolved solids in a ground-water sample. Although water type was computed for each sampling site, only the most frequently observed water type (mode) in each 100-square-mile area are shown on the map. More detailed discussions of how the data were analyzed, processed, and mapped are given by Pettijohn (1986, 1988), Weiss (1987), and Pettijohn and others (1988).

Superimposed on each map are locations of geologic structures that are used as points of reference in describing the chemistry of the ground water from east to west. Uplift, midbay, and downdip are used as reference areas in describing the chemistry of the ground water from north to south. Uplift areas include outcrop and subcrop areas; midbay refers to areas about midway between the outcrop and the downdip limit of the aquifer and downdip refers to areas adjacent to the downdip limit of the aquifer or the downdip limit of the aquifer. A map showing the location of salt domes (Beckman and Williamson, 1990) and boundary of salt dome basins (fig. 4) is included for the purpose of relating salt structures to constituent concentrations.

EXPLANATION

COASTAL LOWLANDS AQUIFER SYSTEM

VICKSBURG-JACKSON CONFINING UNIT

MISSISSIPPI EMBAYMENT AQUIFER SYSTEM

TEXAS COASTAL UPLANDS AQUIFER SYSTEM

OUTCROP OF MIDDLE CLAIBORNE AQUIFER

MIDWAY CONFINING UNIT

MICHOUX-NACATOCH AQUIFER

EDGE OF CONTINENTAL SHELF

SEA LEVEL

FEET

2000

4000

6000

8000

10,000

12,000

Vertical scale greatly exaggerated

0 20 40 MILES

0 20 40 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS

0 100 200 MILES

0 100 200 KILOMETERS