

**INTRODUCTION**

The Nevada Test Site (NTS) is operated by the U.S. Department of Energy and has been the primary location for the continental testing of nuclear devices since the early 1950's. In 1953, the northwest boundary of the NTS was extended westward to incorporate the eastern part of the physiographic area known as Pahute Mesa. Since that time, more than 80 nuclear devices have been tested underground in this area, most of the detonations were at or below the water table. The regional distribution of the water table and the measured water levels in nuclear tests on regional and local water levels are not yet fully known.

**Purpose and Scope**

This report presents water-level and basic construction data for drill holes in and around the primary area of underground testing on eastern Pahute Mesa, and water-level contours based on the most recent water-level measurement made in each drill hole. Measurements are presented for 72 wells and about 30 years. The map complements an earlier publication by Blankenbengel and Weir (1973, pl. 1) and later modified by Blankenbengel for Byers and Hawkins (1981, pl. 1). Information is intended to benefit those involved in (1) the siting, drilling, and design of drill holes to house nuclear devices, (2) the study of ground-water hydrology and radioactive transport beneath the Pahute Mesa area, and (3) investigations of regional ground-water flow at and near the NTS.

**Location and Setting**

The area of study is within Nye County, Nev., about 130 mi northwest of Las Vegas, Nev., and about 30 mi northeast of Beatty, Nev. (see inset map). It includes the northwestern part of the NTS and extends across eastern Pahute Mesa. The map area covers about 375 mi<sup>2</sup>, primarily Areas 18 and 20 and part of Area 19 of the NTS and adjacent parts of the Nellis Air Force Range.

The topography of the area is dominated by the extensive east-west trending plateau that constitutes Pahute Mesa. Altitudes range from about 5,000 to 8,000 ft above sea level, with the highest areas along the eastern-most part of the study area.

Pahute Mesa lies within the geologic region known as the southern Nevada volcanic field (Carr and others, 1966). This region is underlain by a thick section of Tertiary volcanic rocks, primarily ash-flow and ash-fall tuff and rhyolite lava. The subsurface distribution of volcanic units is highly variable and depends on location relative to eruptive centers, the land-surface topography prior to deposition, and post-depositional faulting. The eastern part of Pahute Mesa is underlain by rocks erupted from two calderas, the Area 20 and Grouse Canyon calderas, which together form the Silent Canyon caldera complex (see map). These rocks generally are covered by younger ash-flow and ash-fall tuff erupted from calderas to the west and south. Younger calderas of the Silent Canyon caldera complex cut across the Silent Canyon caldera complex to the south and bound the mesa along its southern limit (see map). Volcanic units commonly are altered by argillization, zeolitization, and devitrification, generally with a concomitant decrease in permeability. The more areally extensive units commonly are cut by a series of basin- and range faults that generally trend north-south across the map area. The total aggregate thickness of the volcanic section is not certain, but exceeds 13,000 ft at drill hole UE-20f (western Area 20, see map; any reader interested in additional information on the drill-hole naming convention is referred to Wood, 1992, p. 2).

The saturated subsurface within the northeastern part of the map area (northern and eastern Area 19) is dominated by thick, extensive rhyolite lava flows. Thick sections of ash-flow and ash-fall tuff become more common and separate the thinning and less extensive rhyolite lava flows in areas to the west and south (Blankenbengel and Weir, 1973). Fractures are prevalent, especially in the denser rock units such as rhyolite lava and welded ash-flow tuff. Ground water moves primarily through interconnected fractures because of the low interstitial permeability. In general, zeolitized and nonwelded tuff are the least permeable rocks and form confining units, and welded ash-flow tuffs and rhyolite lava flows, including vitrophyre and breccia, are the most permeable and form the principal aquifers (Blankenbengel and Weir, 1973).

Recharge to the ground-water flow system, by the downward movement of precipitation, occurs over much of Pahute Mesa and the adjacent highlands. Its magnitude depends on the amount of precipitation. Typically, areas of highest altitude receive the most precipitation. On the basis of this premise, the eastern and northeastern parts of the map area are assumed to receive the greatest amount of local derived recharge (Blankenbengel and Weir, 1973). This assumption is supported by the water-level distribution and vertical bedrock thickness. Higher water-level altitudes in these areas may be a consequence of local mounding that results from greater recharge. Water levels decrease with depth in these areas indicating a downward component of flow, elsewhere, in contrast, water levels increase or are stable with depth, indicating an upward or lesser component of vertical flow. Semi-perched and perched ground water (water separated from the regional ground-water flow system by low-permeability rock of little or no water content) is common throughout the entire region (Blankenbengel and Weir, 1973), but is especially prevalent at higher altitudes where greater recharge is more likely.

In general, ground water beneath Pahute Mesa flows from local recharge areas in the eastern and north-eastern parts of the map area toward major areas of surface discharge located to the south and southwest. A discontinuity in water-level altitudes, approximately coincident with the western boundary of the Silent Canyon caldera complex and the Pursa and West Pursa faults (see map), was first identified by Blankenbengel and Weir (1973). They proposed that the discontinuity is caused by a contrast in permeability between rocks on the west and east sides of a feature they refer to as a hydraulic barrier. Their feature generally defines the trace of the contact between rocks of higher permeability on the east side of lower permeability on the west and is characterized by higher water levels in drill holes west of its location. In this report, the discontinuity is presented as a broad band to portray its uncertain location and cause. The presence of this feature divides ground water flowing westward across the Silent Canyon caldera complex to the south. In addition, the discontinuity is supported by water-chemistry differences: sampled ground water from drill holes west of the feature contains higher concentrations of sulfate and chloride than that from holes east of the feature (Blankenbengel and Weir, 1973).

**GROUND-WATER LEVELS**

Water levels have been measured throughout eastern Pahute Mesa beginning in the early 1950's. These measurements have been key in developing a conceptual understanding of the occurrence and movement of ground water in the region and in designing and engineering safe and effective underground nuclear tests on the mesa. Measurements have been made using several apparatuses including fluid-density probes, steel tapes, and electrical devices (Wood, 1992, p. 3).

Most measurements have been made in two distinctly different types of drill holes, locally referred to as emplacement and exploratory holes. Emplacement holes typically are large in diameter (96 to 120 in.), drilled to differing depths and open except for a short length of surface casing. Historically, eastern Pahute Mesa has been the primary location for detonating larger yield nuclear tests. The containment of radioactive gases generated by larger yield tests commonly required hole depths greater than 4,000 ft. Many of these deeper holes penetrated thick sections of saturated rock. As the necessity for larger yield tests diminished, so too did the need to drill deep emplacement holes. Few of the more recently drilled emplacement holes exceed depths of 2,500 ft and penetrate more than 500 ft of saturated rock. Many of the more recent emplacement holes penetrate only saturated zeolitic tuffs, which are characterized by low permeability. Water levels measured in these holes may not be indicative of the regional water level, but instead may represent

perched or semi-perched water. The limited thickness of saturated rock penetrated by recently drilled holes makes it difficult to determine if measured levels represent regional, perched, or semi-perched conditions.

Exploratory holes are smaller in diameter (usually less than 12 in.) and generally deeper than emplacement holes, commonly exceeding depths of 5,000 ft. Many exploratory holes are cased to depths below the water table with open sections penetrating several hundred to several thousand feet of saturated rock having differing permeability. Measured water levels represent a composite value for the many rock units penetrated and not the level of water in an individual aquifer or confining unit. Historically, exploratory holes were drilled to characterize subsurface conditions prior to underground nuclear testing, but as the need for larger yield tests diminished and the geologic characterization of the testing area progressed, the drilling of deep exploratory holes became less frequent. Few recently drilled exploratory holes penetrate more than 500 ft of saturated rock.

Few drill holes, and of those those constructed specifically for underground testing, have been available to measure water levels, primarily because of the great depth to water and the general inaccessibility of the area. Thus, measurements generally have been limited to those areas considered the most suitable for testing. Measurements are concentrated in the central parts of the major drill blocks (see map) because drill holes purposely were located away from major faults and other structural features to avoid any potential venting of radioactive gases through structure-controlled openings (Nevada Test Site Standard Operating Procedures, U.S. Department of Energy, written commun., 1992). Water levels measured beyond the limits of the actual testing areas, outside the areas of preferred testing media, near structural features, and beyond the boundary of the NTS are scarce. Within the map area, only one drill hole, Pahute Mesa #3, exists outside the boundary of the NTS.

The availability of emplacement and exploratory holes for water-level measurements developed upon operational needs of the testing program. Most emplacement holes are measured used for the placement of a nuclear device, whereas most exploratory holes are eventually stemmed (filled and sealed) to prevent any venting of radioactive gases from nearby tests. The accessibility of the hole also may be affected by the installation of diagnostic instrumentation. The time a particular hole is available for measurement usually is limited, and generally is not sufficient to allow the water level in the drill hole to achieve equilibrium with the surrounding rock.

Water levels in the Pahute Mesa test area are known to have been affected by natural recharge (Dudley and others, 1971; Gonzalez and Dudley, 1971). The effects of testing on water levels are caused by changes in properties of the rock/water media (such as the permeability and storage) and the dissipation of test-generated energy. Short-term water-level changes result from elastic (compressive and dilatational) responses and energy effects, whereas longer term changes result from inelastic responses, such as the formation of bubble and fractures in the rock media (Garber, 1971). The magnitude and longevity of the changes and the combined effect of these changes on the distribution of water levels in and around the testing areas, particularly eastern Pahute Mesa, are not well known.

**Water-Level Configuration**

The water-level contours depicted on the map approach the regional water-level configuration beneath eastern Pahute Mesa. The distribution presented is not intended to represent the water table or the potentiometric surface within a specific aquifer, but rather a coherent surface from which to generalize the regional occurrence and movement of ground water. Contours were constructed using water levels measured in 72 holes throughout the map area (see table 1, which includes two entries for U-20bb and UE-20f, each representing a different completion interval). Although contours are based on the most recent water level measured in each hole, measurements span about 30 years beginning in 1964.

The map updates contours presented on an earlier map by Blankenbengel and Weir (1973, pl. 1) and later modified in the northern Timber Mountain area (Byers and Hawkins, 1981, pl. 1). Water levels used to construct this map include measurements from 45 drill holes not available to the earlier authors, and 10 of the remaining 27 drill holes had measurements made since the publication of their maps. Contours shown on earlier maps are interpolated from measurements made primarily in exploratory holes that were cased below the water table and penetrated thick sections (more than 2,000 ft) of saturated rock. Contours shown on this map are based on measurements from these same holes in addition to measurements made in emplacement holes, few of which penetrate more than 500 ft of saturated rock.

Contours are not extended beyond the northern boundary of the Silent Canyon caldera complex because no data are available from these areas. Contours extend south to the boundary of the Silent Canyon caldera complex and are based on water levels measured in Water Well 8, UE-18c, and UE-18a. As constructed, these contours depict little or no hydrologic effect attributed to the structural boundary between the Silent Canyon and Timber Mountain caldera complexes and enter a west-southwestward flow direction. The addition of a few more measurements within the Timber Mountain caldera complex could alter this depiction of ground-water flow throughout the southern part of the map area.

The water-level discontinuity attributed to a permeability contrast and referred to as a hydraulic barrier by Blankenbengel and Weir (1973) is preserved on the map, but is depicted as a broad regional feature to represent its uncertain position and areal extent. The high degree of uncertainty, especially pertaining to its southern extent, is a result of recent changes in the inferred location of the western boundary of the Silent Canyon caldera complex (Sawyer and others, 1995), of uncertainty as to the cause of the feature (caldera-boundary structures, the Pursa and West Pursa faults, or some combination), and of the limited availability of water-level data in the vicinity of the feature. Contours west of the feature are oriented east-west as compared with the northeast-southwest orientation of Blankenbengel and Weir (1973). An east-west orientation implies a major southward (Savard, 1990) rather than northeastward component of flow west of the feature, and is more consistent with the concept of Oasis Valley (near Beatty) being the primary discharge for ground water within this area and of north-south trending structures influencing flow directions. This interpretation does not preclude, but likely limits, southward flow across the feature (see map).

**Anomalous Water Levels**

Anomalous water levels (elevated or depressed with respect to the water-level distribution presented on the map) occur throughout the map area. These levels were not used in constructing the regional contours depicted on the map because they are thought to represent local conditions, possibly resulting from the occurrence of perched or semi-perched water, of perturbations caused by underground nuclear testing, of differences attributed to varying water-level conditions within different aquifers, or of a combination thereof. Measured anomalies are shown on the map to identify areas where anomalous levels are common and to provide a basis from which to reason their occurrence. The specific cause of an anomaly is difficult to ascertain because of the limited information available in the area.

Anomalous high water levels also are shown in the central map area near UE-19f west of the southern extent of Alameda fault. Here, measured levels differ substantially in accordance with the saturated unit penetrated. Drill holes U-19a, U-18a, and U-19b are open to the same rhyolite lava flow, and all have relatively similar water-level altitudes (4,800, 4,874, and 4,887 ft, respectively) and penetrate less than 60 feet of the saturated section (see table 1). Drill holes UE-19S and U-18a penetrate deeper and are open to a stratigraphically lower rhyolite lava flow. Water-level altitudes (4,429 and 4,495 ft, respectively) are comparatively different from those measured in the younger, overlying rhyolite. Drill holes U-19c is open to a bedded tuff positioned between the two saturated lava flows mentioned above. Its water-level altitude is 4,567 ft and is intermediate with levels measured in the two bounding rhyolite units. These data suggest that the bedded tuff acts as a confining unit, hydraulically separating the two rhyolite lava units and thus allowing differences in hydraulic heads to develop. The interpretation presented on the map accepts the measurements in UE-19S and U-18a as representative of the regional flow system, and considers the others as local anomalies.

Another area of anomalously high water levels is in the southern part of Area 20. Here, anomalous water-level altitudes are represented by measurements of U-20a1, U-20a2, U-20a3, U-20a4, U-20a5, U-20a6, U-20a7, U-20a8, U-20a9, U-20b1, U-20b2, U-20b3, U-20b4, U-20b5, U-20b6, U-20b7, U-20b8, U-20b9, U-20c1, U-20c2, U-20c3, U-20c4, U-20c5, U-20c6, U-20c7, U-20c8, U-20c9, U-20d1, U-20d2, U-20d3, U-20d4, U-20d5, U-20d6, U-20d7, U-20d8, U-20d9, U-20e1, U-20e2, U-20e3, U-20e4, U-20e5, U-20e6, U-20e7, U-20e8, U-20e9, U-20f1, U-20f2, U-20f3, U-20f4, U-20f5, U-20f6, U-20f7, U-20f8, U-20f9, U-20g1, U-20g2, U-20g3, U-20g4, U-20g5, U-20g6, U-20g7, U-20g8, U-20g9, U-20h1, U-20h2, U-20h3, U-20h4, U-20h5, U-20h6, U-20h7, U-20h8, U-20h9, U-20i1, U-20i2, U-20i3, U-20i4, U-20i5, U-20i6, U-20i7, U-20i8, U-20i9, U-20j1, U-20j2, U-20j3, U-20j4, U-20j5, U-20j6, U-20j7, U-20j8, U-20j9, U-20k1, U-20k2, U-20k3, U-20k4, U-20k5, U-20k6, U-20k7, U-20k8, U-20k9, U-20l1, U-20l2, U-20l3, U-20l4, U-20l5, U-20l6, U-20l7, U-20l8, U-20l9, U-20m1, U-20m2, U-20m3, U-20m4, U-20m5, U-20m6, U-20m7, U-20m8, U-20m9, U-20n1, U-20n2, U-20n3, U-20n4, U-20n5, U-20n6, U-20n7, U-20n8, U-20n9, U-20o1, U-20o2, U-20o3, U-20o4, U-20o5, U-20o6, U-20o7, U-20o8, U-20o9, U-20p1, U-20p2, U-20p3, U-20p4, U-20p5, U-20p6, U-20p7, U-20p8, U-20p9, U-20q1, U-20q2, U-20q3, U-20q4, U-20q5, U-20q6, U-20q7, U-20q8, U-20q9, U-20r1, U-20r2, U-20r3, U-20r4, U-20r5, U-20r6, U-20r7, U-20r8, U-20r9, U-20s1, U-20s2, U-20s3, U-20s4, U-20s5, U-20s6, U-20s7, U-20s8, U-20s9, U-20t1, U-20t2, U-20t3, U-20t4, U-20t5, U-20t6, U-20t7, U-20t8, U-20t9, U-20u1, U-20u2, U-20u3, U-20u4, U-20u5, U-20u6, U-20u7, U-20u8, U-20u9, U-20v1, U-20v2, U-20v3, U-20v4, U-20v5, U-20v6, U-20v7, U-20v8, U-20v9, U-20w1, U-20w2, U-20w3, U-20w4, U-20w5, U-20w6, U-20w7, U-20w8, U-20w9, U-20x1, U-20x2, U-20x3, U-20x4, U-20x5, U-20x6, U-20x7, U-20x8, U-20x9, U-20y1, U-20y2, U-20y3, U-20y4, U-20y5, U-20y6, U-20y7, U-20y8, U-20y9, U-20z1, U-20z2, U-20z3, U-20z4, U-20z5, U-20z6, U-20z7, U-20z8, U-20z9.

A single anomalously high water level is shown at UE-20f. As with other anomalies discussed, this level is high with respect to the regional distribution presented, but it represents a measurement made deeper within the flow system. The water-level altitude when first measured was 4,192 ft and represented a composite value for saturated rock above a depth of 4,543 ft (the total depth of the hole at that time). Soon thereafter, the drill hole was cased to a depth of 4,493 ft and deepened to a depth of 13,686 ft. The measured water-level altitude, representing the open interval between casing bottom and the new total depth, was 4,353 ft. Both water levels are shown on the map and given in table 1. These measurements, along with other measurements made at several discrete zones (Blankenbengel and Weir, 1973), indicate a strong upward gradient between deep and shallow parts of the saturated section. A strong upward gradient is consistent with the possible presence of a nearby barrier to westward flow. The lower water-level altitude was used in constructing regional contours because it is consistent with values from other nearby, similarly constructed drill holes.

A single anomalously high water level is shown at UE-20f. As with other anomalies discussed, this level is high with respect to the regional distribution presented, but it represents a measurement made deeper within the flow system. The water-level altitude when first measured was 4,192 ft and represented a composite value for saturated rock above a depth of 4,543 ft (the total depth of the hole at that time). Soon thereafter, the drill hole was cased to a depth of 4,493 ft and deepened to a depth of 13,686 ft. The measured water-level altitude, representing the open interval between casing bottom and the new total depth, was 4,353 ft. Both water levels are shown on the map and given in table 1. These measurements, along with other measurements made at several discrete zones (Blankenbengel and Weir, 1973), indicate a strong upward gradient between deep and shallow parts of the saturated section. A strong upward gradient is consistent with the possible presence of a nearby barrier to westward flow. The lower water-level altitude was used in constructing regional contours because it is consistent with values from other nearby, similarly constructed drill holes.

**Table 1.** Hole-construction and water-level data from holes drilled in eastern Pahute Mesa.

Hole name <sup>a</sup>	Latitude (degrees, minutes, seconds)	Longitude (degrees, minutes, seconds)	Land-surface altitude (feet)	Depth drilled (feet)	Depth to open interval		Water-level measurement		Water above bottom opening (feet)		
					Top (feet)	Bottom (feet)	Date	Depth (feet)		Altitude (feet)	
<b>Area 18</b>											
UE-18r	37 08 05	116 26 41	5,538	5,004	1,629	5,004	05/21/92	1,366	4,173	3,639	
UE-18i	37 07 41	116 19 45	5,201	2,600	1,896	2,600	05/21/92	916	4,285	1,684	
Water Well 8 (formerly USGS HTH-8 or TW-8)	37 09 56	116 17 41	5,665	5,699	1,250	2,170	02/19/62	1,076	4,619	1,944	
<b>Area 19</b>											
U-19a5	37 16 30	116 18 30	6,261	3,584	12	2,813	07/27/64	2,192	4,569	621	
U-19a6	37 15 12	116 17 12	6,928	2,250	58	2,250	02/11/61	2,024	4,904	226	
U-19a7	37 14 10	116 17 10	6,735	2,200	40	2,200	02/19/62	2,280	4,980	430	
U-19a8	37 15 29	116 17 29	6,142	3,075	77	2,075	01/11/60	2,044	4,688	219	
U-19a9	37 13 41	116 17 41	6,799	2,175	50	2,175	06/17/67	2,109	4,690	66	
U-19aa	37 16 43	116 21 20	6,706	2,200	77	2,200	03/28/66	2,119	4,587	81	
U-19ab	37 15 09	116 21 36	6,535	2,200	44	2,200	03/28/66	2,077	4,458	123	
U-19ac	37 15 19	116 22 33	6,512	2,145	52	2,145	04/17/69	2,022	4,490	123	
U-19ad	37 16 32	116 21 32	6,273	2,156	59	2,156	01/06/69	2,128	4,484	27	
U-19ae	37 13 39	116 22 16	6,252	2,137	77	2,130	07/02/60	2,079	4,674	51	
U-19af	37 17 46	116 18 46	7,037	2,177	69	2,177	12/11/60	2,152	4,885	25	
U-19ag	37 16 20	116 21 20	6,494	2,250	44	2,250	11/09/61	2,118	4,576	132	
U-19ah	37 13 29	116 22 20	6,768	2,148	72	2,148	02/17/62	2,101	4,667	47	
U-19ai	37 15 54	116 18 53	7,032	4,520	12	2,656	11/09/61	2,320	4,712	336	
U-19aj (formerly UE-19d)	37 20 54	116 19 18	6,861	7,689	2,560	6,561	01/13/65	2,177	4,684	4,384	
U-19k	37 18 36	116 21 51	6,734	3,202	3,210	3,250	01/04/76	2,064	4,607	1,186	
U-19l (PS #1)†	37 14 53	116 20 57	6,842	3,625	3,643	3,643	05/17/81	2,297	4,548	1,316	
U-19m	37 14 01	116 19 36	6,781	2,230	52	2,230	09/21/76	2,214	4,567	16	
UE-19b #1	37 18 52	116 17 57	6,802	4,500	2,100	4,500	01/13/65	2,117	4,685	2,383	
UE-19b #2 (formerly UE-19c)	37 18 52	116 17 57	6,802	4,489	2,421	4,489	01/13/65	2,109	4,677	610	
UE-19c#inst.	37 17 50	116 19 59	6,919	6,005	2,475	6,005	—	—	2,240	4,679	3,765
UE-19fS	37 13 29	116 22 03	6,735	6,950	2,565	6,950	08/17/65	2,306	4,478	4,644	
UE-19f	37 13 30	116 21 33	6,719	7,500	2,650	7,500	05/06/65	2,013	4,674	5,455	
UE-19g	37 20 34	116 22 25	6,780	3,705	2,331	3,456	08/09/65	2,112	4,668	1,344	
UE-19h	37 15 00	116 19 49	6,789	8,000	2,650	8,000	02/25	4,580	5,702	—	
UE-19j#inst.	37 17 58	116 19 36	6,888	2,800	81	2,800	09/24/77	2,199	4,689	601	
<b>Area 20</b>											
Pahute Mesa Ex. Hole #1 (formerly USGS HTH #9)	37 16 49	116 24 21	4,558	7,558	7,543	7,731	09/30/92	2,095	4,463	5,636	
U-20a	37 20 42	116 24 05	5,586	8,782	2,500	8,782	01/15/91	852	4,734	7,930	
U-20aa	37 15 05	116 24 05	4,468	3,268	2,271	3,033	07/11/65	2,028	4,440	1,007	
U-20ab	37 14 34	116 24 34	6,530	2,177	14	2,177	02/13/64	2,161	4,259	16	
U-20ac	37 18 24	116 23 16	6,474	4,500	2,356	3,900	02/11/65	2,066	4,408	1,834	
U-20ad	37 15 21	116 23 20	6,445	2,300	60	2,300	04/01/61	2,001	4,444	209	
U-20ae	37 15 31	116 23 25	6,503	2,144	66	2,144	03/17/66	2,09			